

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/814,091 03/30/2004 Gerald J. Lozinski Mrcofe-2-4277 7301 **EXAMINER** 7590 12/08/2006 Lawrence J. Shurupoff HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R Sunbeam Products, Inc. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2381 Executive Center Drive Boca Raton, FL 33431 3721

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	_
A.	٤.	
	3	١.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/814,091	LOZINSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher R. Harmon	3721	

Advisory Action	10/814,091	LOZINSKI ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher R. Harmon	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	•		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		a) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have		
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).</li> </ol>					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	o med mann me ame period eer re	7 ti iii 01 01 1 4 1.07 (	۵٫.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>		ducing or cimplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	sadding or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • • •				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		·	•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14 and 16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a North of the affidation of th	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues have been raised such as bolt "simultaneously presses" against second layer (claim 16) that would require further consideration and/or search.

Ob H